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(1) Introduction.
(2) Present the problem and state the DG formulation with preliminary results.
(3) Construct basis for the leading term of the local discretization error by using the finite element space $\mathcal{P}_{p}$ for the solution.
(1) Discuss error estimation procedure and present numerical examples.
(5) Conclusion: Summarize results and described future work.
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## Introduction

- The success of the DG method is due to the following properties:
- Is locally conservative,
- Is well suited to solve problems on locally refined meshes with hanging nodes and
- Exhibits strong superconvergence that can be used to estimate the discretization error.
- Has a simple communication pattern between elements with a common face that makes it useful for parallel computation.
- Can handle problems with complex geometries to high order.
- Does not require continuity across element boundaries
- A posteriori Error Estimation
- $u-U_{h} \approx E$
- Asymptotic behavior of the error
- Drive Adaptive refinement


## DG formulation and preliminary results

- A model problem
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\left\{\begin{array}{c}
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$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial \Omega^{-} & =\{(x, y, z) \in \partial \Omega \mid a . n<0\}, \text { is the inflow boundary, } \\
\partial \Omega^{+} & =\{(x, y, z) \in \partial \Omega \mid a . n>0\}, \text { is the outflow boundary and } \\
\partial \Omega_{0} & =\{(x, y, z) \in \partial \Omega \mid a . n=0\}, \text { is the characteristic boundary. }
\end{aligned}
$$
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## . Class and Types of Elements

we partition the domain $\Omega$ into a regular mesh having $N$ tetrahedra elements $\Delta_{j}, j=1, \ldots, N$ of diameter $h>0$, for simplicity We refer to an arbitrary element by $\Delta$.
Classify tetrahedral elements as:

$$
T_{\text {Class, Type }}=T_{\# \text { of Outflow, } \# \text { of Inflow }}
$$

## Class and Types of elements

|  | Type I | Type II | Type III |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Class I | $T_{11}$ | $T_{12}$ | $T_{13}$ |
| Class II | $T_{21}$ | $T_{22}$ |  |
| Class III | $T_{31}$ |  |  |

## DG formulation and preliminary results

## - Some examples for Class and Types of Elements



Figure: $T_{11}$ : One Outflow, One Inflow and Two Characteristics
$T_{12}$
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## DG formulation and preliminary results
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\begin{aligned}
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\bar{P}_{r}^{2 p+2 q+2,0}(\zeta) & =\hat{P}_{r}^{2 p+2 q+2,0}(2 \zeta-1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

$P_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}(x)=\frac{(-1)^{n}}{2^{n} n!}(1-x)^{-\alpha}(1+x)^{-\beta} \frac{d^{n}}{d x^{n}}\left[(1-x)^{\alpha+n}(1+x)^{\beta+n}\right], \alpha, \beta>-1$.
Satisfy $\mathcal{L}^{2}$ orthogonality and is complete in the space $\mathcal{P}_{p}$

$$
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map $\Delta$ with vertices $V_{i}=\left(x_{i}, y_{i}, z_{i}\right), i=1,2,3,4$ into the canonical tetrahedron
$\hat{\Delta}$ with vertices $(0,0,0),(1,0,0),(0,1,0)$ and $(0,0,1)$.
Then the local error satisfy these orthogonality conditions on the canonical element
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If $u$ is analytic, we can write the local error as a Maclaurin series

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon(\xi, \eta, \zeta)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_{k}(\xi, \eta, \zeta) h^{k}, \text { where } Q_{k} \in \mathcal{P}_{k} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Lemma

If $Q_{k} \in \mathcal{P}_{k}, k=0,1, \ldots, p$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{\Gamma^{+}} a \cdot n Q_{k} V d \sigma+\iiint_{\Delta}(-a . \nabla V) Q_{k} d \xi d \eta d \zeta=0, \forall V \in \mathcal{P}_{p} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $Q_{k}=0,0 \leq k \leq p$.
Then we obtain the following expression for the local error.

## DG formulation and preliminary results

- Asymptotic behavior of error


## Theorem

Let $u \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\Delta)$ and $U \in \mathcal{P}_{p}(\Delta)$ be the solutions of (1), then the local finite element error can be written as
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\begin{equation*}
\epsilon(\xi, \eta, \zeta)=\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} h^{k} Q_{k}(\xi, \eta, \zeta) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$
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where we have the degree of freedom

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{dim}\left\{\varphi_{j, k}^{i}, 0 \leq i, j, k \leq p+1\right\}=\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{P}_{p+1} \\
=\frac{(p+2)(p+3)(p+4)}{6}=O\left(p^{3}\right)
\end{gathered}
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and for for the second basis is

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left\{\chi_{i, j}^{p+1}, 0 \leq i, j \leq p+1\right\}=\frac{(p+2)(p+3)}{2}=O\left(p^{2}\right)
$$

## Basis for the leading term of the local discretization error

 - Basis functions of error IIThe leading term $E$ satisfy these orthogonality conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \iint_{\Gamma^{-}} a . n E^{-} V d \sigma+\iint_{\Gamma^{+}} a . n E V d \sigma \\
& +\iiint_{\Delta_{e}}(a \cdot \nabla E) V d x d y d z=0, \forall V \in \mathcal{P}_{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $E^{-}=u-U^{-}$, we choose $\left.U\right|_{\Gamma^{-}}=U^{-}=u$, then $E^{-}=0$, after mapping to the Canonical element we get

$$
\iint_{\hat{\Gamma}^{+}} \hat{a} \cdot \hat{n} \hat{E} V d \sigma+\iiint_{\hat{\Delta}}(\hat{a} \cdot \nabla \hat{E}) V d \xi d \eta d \zeta=0, \forall V \in \mathcal{P}_{p}
$$

Let $\lambda=\frac{\alpha}{\beta}, \mu=\frac{\gamma}{\beta}$ where $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)=\hat{a}$.

## Basis for the leading term of the local discretization error

 . Example of Basis functions for element of Class I, $p=0,1$Then the function $\chi_{i, j}^{p+1}$ computed on the reference tetrahedra for each class of elements, using Mathematica, and are given in terms of $\varphi_{j, k}^{i}$ as:

## Basis for the leading term of the local discretization error

 - Example of Basis functions for element of Class I, $p=0,1$Then the function $\chi_{i, j}^{p+1}$ computed on the reference tetrahedra for each class of elements, using Mathematica, and are given in terms of $\varphi_{j, k}^{i}$ as:

- Class I (where we have one outflow)

| $p=0$ | $\chi_{0,0}^{1}=\varphi_{0,0}^{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $\chi_{1,0}^{0}=\frac{2}{3} \varphi_{0,0}^{0}+\varphi_{1,0}^{0}$ |
|  | $\chi_{0,1}^{0}=-\frac{1}{3} \varphi_{0,0}^{0}+\varphi_{0,1}^{0}$ |
|  | $\chi_{0,0}^{2}=\varphi_{0,0}^{2}$ |
|  | $\chi_{1,0}^{1}=\frac{4}{5} \varphi_{0,0}^{1}+\varphi_{1,0}^{1}$ |
|  | $\chi_{0,1}^{1}=-\frac{1}{5} \varphi_{0,0}^{1}+\varphi_{0,1}^{1}$ |
|  | $\chi_{2,0}^{0}=\frac{1}{10} \varphi_{0,1}^{0}+\frac{4}{5} \varphi_{1,0}^{0}+\varphi_{2,0}^{0}$ |
|  | $\chi_{1,1}^{0}=\frac{3}{5} \varphi_{0,1}^{0}-\frac{1}{5} \varphi_{1,0}^{0}+\varphi_{1,1}^{0}$ |
|  | $\chi_{0,2}^{0}=-\frac{1}{2} \varphi_{0,1}^{0}+\varphi_{0,2}^{0}$ |

Table 1: Basis functions for element of Class I

## Basis for the leading term of the local discretization error

- Example of Basis functions for element of Class II and III, $p=0$
- Class II (where we have two outflow)

$$
\begin{array}{l|l}
\hline & \chi_{0,0}^{1}=\varphi_{0,0}^{1}+\frac{\lambda}{3 \lambda+3} \varphi_{0,0}^{0} \\
p=0 & \chi_{1,0}^{0}=\varphi_{1,0}^{0}+\frac{-\lambda+2}{3 \lambda+3} \varphi_{0,0}^{0} \\
& \chi_{0,1}^{0}=-\frac{1}{3} \varphi_{0,0}^{0}+\varphi_{0,1}^{0} \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

Table 2: Basis functions for element of Class II

- Class III (where we have three outflow)

| $p=0$ | $\chi_{0,0}^{1}=\varphi_{0,0}^{1}+\frac{\lambda}{3 \lambda+3 \mu+3} \varphi_{0,0}^{0}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $\chi_{1,0}^{0}=\varphi_{1,0}^{0}-\frac{\lambda-2)}{3 \lambda+3 \mu+3} \varphi_{0,0}^{0}$ |
|  | $\chi_{0,1}^{0}=\varphi_{0,1}^{0}-\frac{\lambda-3 \mu+1}{3 \lambda+3 \mu+3} \varphi_{0,0}^{0}$ |

Table 3: Basis functions for element of Class III

## Computational Examples

- A posteriori error procedure

The DG solution $U_{e}$ satisfy on the physical elementts $\Omega_{e}$
$\iint_{\Gamma_{-}^{-}} a \cdot n\left(\tilde{U}^{-}-U\right) V d \sigma+\iiint_{\Omega_{e}}(a \cdot \nabla U) V d x d y d z=\iiint_{\Omega_{e}} f V d x d y d z$.
and the leading term $E$ satisfy on

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \iint_{\Gamma^{-}} a \cdot n\left(E^{-}-E\right) V d \sigma+\iiint_{\Omega_{e}}(a \cdot \nabla E) V d x d y d z \\
& =\iiint_{\Omega_{e}}(f-a \cdot \nabla U) V d x d y d z
\end{aligned}
$$

In this analysis we use the local and global effectivity indices in the
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## - A posteriori error procedure

The DG solution $U_{e}$ satisfy on the physical elementts $\Omega_{e}$
$\iint_{\Gamma^{-}} a \cdot n\left(\tilde{U}^{-}-U\right) V d \sigma+\iiint_{\Omega_{e}}(a \cdot \nabla U) V d x d y d z=\iiint_{\Omega_{e}} f V d x d y d z$.
and the leading term $E$ satisfy on

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \iint_{\Gamma^{-}} a \cdot n\left(E^{-}-E\right) V d \sigma+\iiint_{\Omega_{e}}(a \cdot \nabla E) V d x d y d z \\
& =\iiint_{\Omega_{e}}(f-a \cdot \nabla U) V d x d y d z
\end{aligned}
$$

In this analysis we use the local and global effectivity indices in the $\mathcal{L}^{2}$ norm

$$
\theta_{e}=\frac{\|E\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2}\left(\Omega_{e}\right)}}{\|e\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2}\left(\Omega_{e}\right)}} \text {, and } \theta=\frac{\|E\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2}(\Omega)}}{\|e\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2}(\Omega)}}
$$

Under mesh refinement, the effectivity indices should approach unity.
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## Remark

i) Structured meshes: This meshes obtained by partitioning the domain into $n^{3}$ cube for $n=1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8$ and dividing each cube into five tetrahedrons (where $h_{\max }=\frac{\sqrt{2}}{n}$ ). Thus, the meshes have $N=5 \times n^{3}=40$, 135, 320, 625, 1080, 1715 and 2560 tetrahedra elements.
ii) Unstructured meshes: These meshes obtained by COMSOL software for $h_{\max }=\frac{1}{n}$ (for $n=1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8$ ) with number of elements $N=24,192,476,943,2121,3731,5846$ and 8713.
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- Example of Structured and Unstructured meshes


## Structured meshes



Figure: Tetrahedral mesh with $N=5 \times 8^{2}=320$ elements

## Unstructured meshes



Figure: TTetrahedral meshes obtained by COMSOL with $N=953$ elements

## Computational Examples

\author{

- Solve Problem 1 in structured meshes
}

Example 1: We consider the following linear hyperbolic problem

$$
-3 u_{x}-7 u_{y}+13 u_{z}=3 e^{x+y+z},(x, y, z) \in \Omega=[0,1]^{3}
$$

and select the initial and boundary conditions such that the exact solution is

$$
u(x, y, z)=e^{x+y+z}
$$

We solve this problem using $\mathcal{P}_{p}$, with the exact boundary condition i.e. $U^{-}=u$, on the first meshes and compar the two methods for $p=0,1,2,3$.
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## . Results of Problem 1 in structured meshes, $p=0$

| $\mathcal{P}_{p}$ (Example 1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| With correction |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $N$ | $N$ | $\\|u-U\\|_{2, \Omega}$ | Order | $\\|u-U-E\\|_{2, \Omega}$ | Order | $\theta$ |
|  | 40 | 1.0279 | - | $1.4619 e-01$ | - | 1.0249 |
|  | 320 | $5.2283 e-01$ | 1.0155 | $4.4524 e-02$ | 1.7651 | 1.0257 |
| 0 | 1080 | $3.4927 e-01$ | 1.0031 | $2.1341 e-02$ | 1.8323 | 1.0215 |
|  | 2560 | $2.6212 e-01$ | 1.0009 | $1.2524 e-02$ | 1.8594 | 1.0181 |
| Without correction |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 40 | 1.1016 | - | $8.8975 e-01$ | - | 0.5326 |
|  | 320 | $5.8671 e-01$ | 0.9290 | $4.9958 e-01$ | 0.8104 | 0.4456 |
| 0 | 1080 | $4.0105 e-01$ | 0.9432 | $3.4893 e-01$ | 0.8788 | 0.4097 |
|  | 2560 | $3.0492 e-01$ | 0.9549 | $2.6829 e-01$ | 0.9111 | 0.3901 |

## Computational Examples

## . Results of Problem 1 in structured meshes, $p=1$

| $\mathcal{P}_{p}$ (Example 1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| With correction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $p$ | $N$ | $\\|u-U\\|_{2, \Omega}$ | Order | $\\|u-U-E\\|_{2, \Omega}$ | Order | $\theta$ |  |
|  | 40 | $1.6430 e-01$ | - | $1.1208 e-02$ | - | 1.0078 |  |
| 1 | 320 | $2.9779 e-02$ | 2.0298 | $1.7188 e-03$ | 2.7045 | 1.0100 |  |
|  | 1080 | $1.3256 e-02$ | 2.0085 | $5.6092 e-04$ | 2.7975 | 1.0107 |  |
|  | 2560 | $7.4596 e-03$ | 2.0031 | $2.4287 e-04$ | 2.9433 | 1.0101 |  |
| Without correction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 40 | $1.4619 e-01$ | - | $1.2639 e-01$ | - | 0.3583 |  |
| 1 | 320 | $4.4524 e-02$ | 1.7651 | $4.0626 e-02$ | 1.6926 | 0.2940 |  |
|  | 1080 | $2.1341 e-02$ | 1.8323 | $1.9748 e-02$ | 1.7993 | 0.2835 |  |
|  | 2560 | $1.2524 e-02$ | 1.8594 | $1.1664 e-02$ | 1.8371 | 0.2794 |  |
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## . Results of Problem 1 in structured meshes, $p=3$

| $\mathcal{P}_{p}$ (Example 1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| With correction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $N$ | $N$ | $\\|u-U\\|_{2, \Omega}$ | Order | $\\|u-U-E\\|_{2, \Omega}$ | Order | $\theta$ |  |
|  | 40 | $5.6848 e-04$ | - | $3.5351 e-05$ | - | 1.0088 |  |
| 3 | 320 | $3.6432 e-05$ | 4.0338 | $1.2754 e-06$ | 4.8473 | 1.0052 |  |
|  | 1080 | $7.2110 e-06$ | 4.0087 | $1.7850 e-07$ | 4.8463 | 1.0034 |  |
|  | 2560 | $2.2825 e-06$ | 4.0035 | $4.3753 e-08$ | 4.9049 | 1.0026 |  |
| Without correction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 40 | $7.1418 e-04$ | - | $6.2790 e-04$ | 3.7141 | 0.37205 |  |
| 3 | 320 | $5.3016 e-05$ | 3.7605 | $4.8864 e-05$ | 3.6696 | 0.36086 |  |
|  | 1080 | $1.1461 e-05$ | 3.7898 | $1.0778 e-05$ | 3.7375 | 0.3509 |  |
|  | 2560 | $3.7927 e-06$ | 3.8634 | $3.6021 e-06$ | 3.8291 | 0.3505 |  |
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## $\mathcal{P}_{p}$ (Example 1 CM )

$$
p=2
$$

| $N$ | $\\|u-U\\|_{2, \Omega}$ | Order | $\\|u-U-E\\|_{2, \Omega}$ | Order | $\theta$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 192 | $1.6180 e-003$ | - | $7.5291 e-005$ | - | 1.0161 |
| 934 | $4.1263 e-004$ | 3.0445 | $1.4901 e-005$ | 3.6954 | 1.0024 |
| 3731 | $1.0915 e-004$ | 3.0376 | $2.6278 e-006$ | 3.8781 | 1.0021 |
| 8713 | $4.6621 e-005$ | 3.2370 | $8.7999 e-007$ | 4.3913 | 1.0014 |


| $p=3$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | $8.3118 e-04$ | - | $5.2796 e-05$ | - | 1.0229 |  |
| 192 | $5.1438 e-05$ | 4.0142 | $1.8696 e-06$ | 4.8196 | 1.0132 |  |
| 934 | $9.2356 e-06$ | 4.3114 | $2.9574 e-07$ | 4.7873 | 1.0020 |  |
| 2121 | $3.3315 e-06$ | 4.5695 | $8.7329 e-08$ | 5.4664 | 1.0020 |  |
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- These a posteriori error estimates tested on several linear problems to show their efficiency and accuracy under mesh refinement for smooth solutions.
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- Nonlinear problem
- Transit problem
- System
- Other spaces


## Thanks!

