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## Which midpoint method are we talking about?

## WANTED FOR FORGERY JOHN M. ANDREWS

Alias Jack Andrews, Maurice Basford, Manuel W. Nichols, Maurice Wheeler Nichols

|  | $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{mid})$ | $\frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{f}($ left $)+\mathrm{f}($ right $))$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| explicit: | explicit midpoint method | Heun's method or <br> Improved Euler method |
| implicit: | implicit Runge-Kutta 2 or <br> implicit midpoint method | trapezoidal method |

For linear problems, explicit and implicit are the same.
The original Crank-Nicolson uses implicit midpoint time stepping. Here we consider only the implicit midpoint method.

## Two Ways to Look at It



The implicit midpoint method can be seen as a single step:

$$
y_{n+1}=y_{n}+\tau_{n} f\left(t_{n+1 / 2}, y_{n+1 / 2}\right)
$$

or as Backward Euler and Forward Euler (BEFE) steps of size $\frac{\tau_{n}}{2}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{n+1 / 2} & =y_{n}+\frac{\tau_{n}}{2} f\left(t_{n+1 / 2}, y_{n+1 / 2}\right) \quad \text { (Backward) } \\
y_{n+1} & =y_{n+1 / 2}+\frac{\tau_{n}}{2} f\left(t_{n+1 / 2}, y_{n+1 / 2}\right) \quad(\text { Forward })
\end{aligned}
$$

The second step can be rewritten simply as:

$$
y_{n+1}=2 y_{n+1 / 2}-y_{n} \quad(\text { Forward })
$$

so the implicit problem only needs to be solved once, in BE.

## Sketch of an implementation

Using $n$ steps of size $d t$ starting at $t_{0}$, we invoke a "magic" function SOLVER(variable,equation), which determines a value for $y_{h}$ :

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\text { for } i \text { from } 1 \text { to } n \\
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { to }=t(i) \\
\text { yo }=y(i,:)
\end{array}\right. \\
\text { th }=\text { to }+d t / 2 \\
\text { yh }=\text { SOLVER }(\text { yh, } \\
\quad(y h-y o) /(\text { th }) \text { to })-f(\text { th }, \text { yh })=0)
\end{array} \begin{array}{l}
t(i+1)=\text { to }+d t \\
y(i+1,:)=2 * y h-y o
\end{array}\right\}
$$

In MATLAB, Octave, Python and R, the magic function is fsolve().

## Stress Tests

| Exp: | $u^{\prime}=\lambda u$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Stiff: | $u^{\prime}=\lambda(\cos (t)-u)$ |
| Lotka: | $u^{\prime}=2 u-0.001 u v, \quad v^{\prime}=-10 v+0.002 u v$ |
| Rigid: | $u^{\prime}=(1 / c-1 / b) v w$ |
|  | $v^{\prime}=(1 / a-1 / c) u w$ |
|  | $w^{\prime}=(1 / b-1 / a) u v$ |
| VDP: | $u^{\prime}=v, \quad v^{\prime}=\mu\left(1-u^{2}\right) v-u$ |
| Pend: | $u^{\prime}=v, \quad v^{\prime}=-\frac{g}{1} \sin (u)$ |
| Double | $u_{1}^{\prime}=v_{1}$ |
| pend: | $v_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{g\left(2 m_{1}+m_{2}\right) \sin \left(u_{1}\right)+m_{2}\left(g \sin \left(u_{1}-2 u_{2}\right)+2\left(l_{2}+v_{2}^{2}+h_{1} v_{1}^{2} \cos \left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right) \sin \left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)\right.\right.}{2 h_{1}\left(m_{1}+m_{2}-m_{2} \cos \left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)^{2}\right.}$ |
|  | $u_{2}^{\prime}=v_{2}$ |
|  | $v_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{\left(\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)\left(l_{1} v_{1}^{2}+g \cos \left(u_{1}\right)\right)+t_{2} m_{2} v_{2}^{2} \cos \left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)\right) \sin \left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)}{l_{1}\left(m_{1}+m_{2}-m_{2} \cos \left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)^{2}\right)}$ |
| Lindberg | $y_{1}^{\prime}=10^{4}\left(y_{1} y_{3}+y_{2} y_{4}\right)$ |
|  | $y_{2}^{\prime}=-10^{4}\left(y_{1} y_{4}+y_{2} y_{3}\right)$ |
|  | $y_{3}^{\prime}=1-y_{3}$ |
|  | $y_{4}^{\prime}=-0.5 y_{3}-y_{4}+0.5$ |

## Very stiff Van der Pol ODE

Compare ode45(), ode23s(), fixed step midpoint, adaptive step midpoint, on Van der Pol ODE with $\mu=1000$.



## Conservation

In practical problems, exact solutions are not known, but physical constraints may require conservation of certain quantities. An ODE solver's results can then be judged by how well conservation is modeled.

## Conservation of mass


$\operatorname{mass}(\mathrm{g})$ of reactants $=\operatorname{mass}(\mathrm{g})$ of products

## Energy conservation for four test cases

| rigid body | nonlinear pendulum |
| :--- | :--- |
| lotka-volterra | double pendulum |



## Review energy conservation for double pendulum

Energy conservation(?) for midpoint, ode23s(), ode45(), rk4(), on double pendulum, using increasing energy levels.



ode45() explodes, ode23s() and rk4() lose energy, midpoint() conserves.

## Adaptive Stepsize for Midpoint



For a smooth solution $y(x)$, the local truncation error for the midpoint method is

$$
T_{n+1} \equiv y\left(t_{n+1}\right)-y_{n+1}=\frac{1}{24} \tau_{n}^{3} y^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(t_{n}+1 / 2\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\tau_{n}^{5}\right)
$$

For a given local error tolerance tol, propose the next time step as

$$
\tau_{n+1}=\kappa \tau_{n}\left(\frac{\text { tol }}{\left\|T_{n+1}\right\|}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}
$$

with the safety factor $\kappa \leq 1$.

## The Lindberg ODE: a Deadly Trap



- Lindberg proposed a system of 4 ODE's as a severe test for stiff ODE solvers.
- The system has been criticized as atypical, and "unfair" (!)
- Standard methods fail catastrophically with underflow or overflow.
- The eigenvalues of the Jacobian are large, and evolve over time from negative to positive values.
- This ODE was used to evaluate the DIFSUB and DIFSOL solvers.
- An exact solution is known.


## The Lindberg ODE: $\log _{10}\left(y_{1}(t), y_{2}(t)\right)$



The norm of the exact solution, although nonzero, becomes too small to represent in 64 bit floating point.

We see an initial plunge in the solution, a gap in the range $0.1 \leq t \leq 1.5$, and a final unstable explosion.

An ODE solver will miss this final growth phase near $t=1.5$ if it has set $\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=(0,0)$ by then.

## The Lindberg ODE: MATLAB solvers fail




- Left: The ode23s() solver drops too quickly, and "dies" at $t=1.1$.
- Right: The ode15s() and ode45() solvers drop only slightly, then proceed to about $t=0.8$ and and explode.
Tolerances used were abstol $=1.0 \mathrm{E}-15$, reltol $=1.0 \mathrm{E}-11$.


## The Lindberg ODE: Midpoint adaptivity crucial



Focus on light blue lines with $\delta=1$ :

- Left: Stepsize drastically reduced at blowup time.
- Right: Adaptive code follows blowup.

Note that a nonadaptive midpoint method, using a constant stepsize, doesn't break down early as the MATLAB solvers do, but marches past the blowup point without detecting it.

## A Menu of Implementations

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Language | fsolve | adaptive |
| C | midpoint.c |  |
| C++ | midpoint.cpp |  |
| Fortran77 | midpoint.f |  |
| Fortran90 | midpoint.f90 |  |
| FreeFem++ | + midpoint.edp |  |
| MATLAB | midpoint.m | midpoint_adaptive.m |
| Octave | midpoint.m | midpoint_adaptive.m |
| Python | midpoint.py | midpoint_adaptive.py |
| R | midpoint.R |  |

## Professional Codes available

Or you may prefer your ODE to be handled by a professional!

| Language | library | code |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | Gnu Scientific Library | gsl_odeiv2_step_rk2imp() |
| C++ | Gnu Scientific Library | gsl_odeiv2_step_rk2imp() |
| Julia | ODE | Midpoint |

You might also find an implementation of the midpoint method "hiding" in a standard library as an order 2 implicit Runge Kutta ODE solvers.

## A Menu of Implementations



| Language | fixed point | fsolve | adaptive |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | midpoint_fixed.c | midpoint.c | gsl_odeiv2_step_rk2imp() |
| $\mathrm{C}++$ | midpoint_fixed.cpp | midpoint.cpp | gsl_odeiv2_step_rk2imp() |
| Fortran77 | midpoint_fixed.f | midpoint.f |  |
| Fortran90 | midpoint_fixed.f90 | midpoint.f90 |  |
| FreeFem |  | midpoint.edp |  |
| Julia |  |  | ODE:Midpoint() |
| MATLAB | midpoint_fixed.m | midpoint.m | midpoint_adaptive.m |
| Octave | midpoint_fixed.m | midpoint.m | midpoint_adaptive.m |
| Python | midpoint_fixed.py | midpoint.py | midpoint_adaptive.py |
| R | midpoint_fixed.R | midpoint.R |  |

## The Story in a Nutshell



- Implicit backward step/2 + explicit forward step/2;
- It is second order accurate;
- It is absolutely stable and B-stable;
- It preserves linear and quadratic conservation quantities;
- It produces reliable error estimates;
- It predicts safe time-steps for adaptive solution;
- It upgrades Backward Euler codes with one new line;
- C, C++, Fortran, FreeFem++, Julia, MATLAB, Octave, Python, R.

